



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/534,928   | 09/15/2005  | Guoshun Deng         | CU-4207 RJS         | 3659             |
| 26530 7590 06/19/2009<br>LADAS & PARRY LLP<br>224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE<br>SUITE 1600<br>CHICAGO, IL 60604 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| AVERY, JEREMIAH L  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT   |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 2431   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE  |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 06/19/2009   |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/534,928

**Applicant(s)**

DENG ET AL.

**Examiner**

JEREMIAH AVERY

**Art Unit**

2431

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 May 2005.  
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  
7) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 14 and 16 is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 May 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

I. Claims 1-21 have been examined.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The "self-defining algorithm" is not properly defined within the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 18, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. Claims 4, 6, 9 and 18 have the term "and/or" and it is unclear as to whether only one or both portions of the claim language are required. The Examiner will broadly interpret these claims to require only one of the elements within the claim language.

4. Claims 10 and 19 recite the limitation "the anti-falsification identification" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

5. Claims 12 and 21 have the claim language "...transmitting a command of exchanging session key and introducing at least one random number at the same time" and it is unclear as to how it would be possible to exchange a session key and "introducing at least one random number" simultaneously.
6. Regarding claim 13, the phrase "but not limited to" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

***Claim Objections***

7. Claims 1 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: usage of a question mark "?" for labeling limitations. The Examiner recommends removing the question marks in front of each limitation. Appropriate correction is required.
8. Claim 16 is objected due to a punctuation error. A period "." is missing at the conclusion of the claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

***Specification***

9. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of usage of the term "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
10. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.
11. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology

often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

12. The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6,272,631 to Thomlinson et al., hereinafter Thomlinson.

13. Regarding claims 1 and 14, Thomlinson teaches a method for realizing data security storage by means of semiconductor memory device, comprising a semiconductor memory device, the semiconductor memory device comprising controller module as well as universal interface module and semiconductor storage medium module electrically connected with the controller module, respectively, characterized in that the method of data security storage comprises the steps of: dividing the semiconductor storage medium module into at least two logic memory spaces (column 4, lines 45-55, "system memory includes read only memory (ROM) 24 and random access memory (RAM) 25" and column 7, lines 25-32, "a dynamically linked library (DLL) that can be executed in the application programs' address spaces");

using at least one of the logic memory spaces for storing the data to be protected (column 2, lines 16-23, column 3, lines 7-15, column 6, lines 10-35, "the protected storage system allows application programs to securely store data items that must be kept private and free from tampering", column 7, lines 15-21, "the protected storage system is implemented in a different address space than the calling application programs", column 9, lines 31-43 and column 11, lines 28-35);

setting up and storing passwords for the semiconductor memory device and said at least one logic memory space (column 2, lines 37-44, column 6, lines 10-25, column 8, lines 53-58, 66 and 67, column 9, lines 1-6 and 31-58 and column 10, lines 33-38);

certifying the password before read/write operation; when writing the data to be protected in the semiconductor memory device, the controller module receiving the data from the universal interface and, after encrypting the data, storing it in the semiconductor storage medium module (column 2, lines 28-44 and 60-67, column 3, lines 1-21, column 4, lines 45-67, column 5, lines 1-18, column 6, lines 10-39 and 47-53, column 7, lines 41-53, column 9, lines 31-37 and 59-63 and column 10, lines 1-14 and 30-50, "the storage server stores the encrypted individual data item, the item authentication code, the encrypted item key, the encrypted item authentication key, the key authentication code, the encrypted master key, and the encrypted master authentication key, to be retrieved later when requested by an authorized application program");

and when reading the data to be protected from the semiconductor memory device, the controller module decrypting the data and transmitting the decrypted data via a

universal interface (column 3, lines 12-15, column 6, lines 47-53 and column 9, lines 31-47 and 59-65).

14. Regarding claim 2, Thomlinson teaches that at least one of the logic memory spaces is for storing algorithm, and the controller module executes the designated algorithm according to input data from the universal interface and transmits the operation result via the universal interface (column 2, lines 28-44 and 60-67, column 3, lines 1-21, column 4, lines 45-67, column 5, lines 1-18, column 6, lines 10-39 and 47-53, column 7, lines 41-53, column 9, lines 31-37 and 59-63 and column 10, lines 1-14 and 30-50, "the storage server stores the encrypted individual data item, the item authentication code, the encrypted item key, the encrypted item authentication key, the key authentication code, the encrypted master key, and the encrypted master authentication key, to be retrieved later when requested by an authorized application program").

15. Regarding claims 3 and 15, Thomlinson teaches that the semiconductor storage media module may be a storage medium, or combinations of at least two storage media (column 2, lines 16-23, column 3, lines 7-15, column 6, lines 10-35, "the protected storage system allows application programs to securely store data items that must be kept private and free from tampering", column 4, lines 45-55, "system memory includes read only memory (ROM) 24 and random access memory (RAM) 25", column 7, lines 15-32, "the protected storage system is implemented in a different address space than the calling application programs" and "a dynamically linked library (DLL) that can be

executed in the application programs' address spaces", column 9, lines 31-43 and column 11, lines 28-35).

16. Regarding claim 4, Thomlinson teaches that the semiconductor memory device *and/or* said at least one logic memory space set up at least two levels of users passwords (column 7, lines 64-67, column 8, lines 1-29 and 41-57 and column 9, lines 1-6 and 31-49).

17. Regarding claim 5, Thomlinson teaches that certification of user passwords may be implemented before the operation in all logic memory spaces, and it may also be implemented before the operation in the logic memory spaces storing the data to be protected (column 8, lines 53-67 and column 9, lines 1-11 and 31-58, "wherein data items are encrypted based on a user-supplied password, or some other code related to user authentication, before storing the data items").

18. Regarding claim 6, Thomlinson teaches setting up a database, and conducting the access *and/or* authority management to the data to be protected by way of the database (column 3, lines 7-15, column 6, lines 10-29 and 40-53 and column 7, lines 15-32).

19. Regarding claim 7, Thomlinson teaches that the authorities comprise reading, writing, modifying, deleting and executing authorities, each authority having the meanings of:

Reading authority: only allowing reading record data in the database; Writing authority: only allowing writing new data in the database, but not covering the record data with the same record title (column 8, lines 1-9, "read and write access");

Modifying authority: only allowing writing data in the database and covering the record data with the same record title (column 8, lines 46-52, "the user can later modify access rights to the data");

Deleting authority: allowing deleting the database or the records therein (column 27, part of the IPStore Interface, "DeleteItem", "DeleteSubtype" and "DeleteType");

Executing authority: allowing executing record codes in the database, which is an authority with respect to written data of self-defined algorithm or function code and is normally invalid to designate executing authority for record data (column 8, lines 53-67 and column 9, lines 1-11 and 31-58, "wherein data items are encrypted based on a user-supplied password, or some other code related to user authentication, before storing the data items").

20. Regarding claim 8, Thomlinson teaches that at least one of the logic memory spaces is used for storing the data that does not need protection (column 4, lines 45-67 and column 5, lines 1-20).

21. Regarding claims 9 and 18, Thomlinson teaches identifying whether the transmitted *and/or* stored data is falsified or not (column 9, lines 20-28 and column 11, lines 4-10).

22. Regarding claims 10 and 19, Thomlinson teaches that during transmitting or storing data, *the anti-falsification identification* comprises the steps of:

A. invoking encrypting algorithm to convert original data to obtain conversion value X (column 2, lines 28-44 and 60-67, column 3, lines 1-21, column 4, lines 45-67, column

5, lines 1-18, column 6, lines 10-39 and 47-53, column 7, lines 41-53, column 9, lines 31-37 and 59-63 and column 10, lines 1-14 and 30-50);

B. packing the original data and the conversion value X according to certain format to form data package (column 3, lines 7-15, column 6, lines 10-29 and 40-53 and column 7, lines 15-32);

C. transmitting or storing the whole data package (column 2, lines 16-23, column 3, lines 7-15, column 6, lines 10-35, "the protected storage system allows application programs to securely store data items that must be kept private and free from tampering", column 4, lines 45-55, "system memory includes read only memory (ROM) 24 and random access memory (RAM) 25", column 7, lines 15-32, "the protected storage system is implemented in a different address space than the calling application programs" and "a dynamically linked library (DLL) that can be executed in the application programs' address spaces", column 9, lines 31-43 and column 11, lines 28-35);

and during receiving and reading the data, the method comprises the steps of:

A. unpacking the data package according to the aforesaid same format to obtain the original data and the conversion value X of the original data (column 3, lines 12-15, column 6, lines 47-53 and column 9, lines 31-47 and 59-65);

B. invoking the encrypting algorithm the same as the aforesaid one to calculate conversion value of the original data to obtain conversion value Y (column 2, lines 28-44 and 60-67, column 3, lines 1-21, column 4, lines 45-67, column 5, lines 1-18, column 6,

lines 10-39 and 47-53, column 7, lines 41-53, column 9, lines 31-37 and 59-63 and column 10, lines 1-14 and 30-50);

C. comparing the calculated conversion value Y and the received conversion value X to see whether they are equal to each other (column 10, lines 46-57, column 11, lines 4-31 and column 12, lines 6-12);

D. if the compared result is equal, indicating the data that have not been falsified, and otherwise indicating the data having been falsified (column 10, lines 46-57, column 11, lines 4-31 and column 12, lines 6-12).

23. Regarding claims 11 and 20, Thomlinson teaches using randomly changeable session key to encrypt the data during the data transmission (column 9, lines 66 and 67, column 10, lines 1-14 and 22-38).

24. Regarding claims 12 and 21, Thomlinson teaches that the step of using randomly changeable session key to encrypt data comprises the steps of:

A. at the beginning of the data transmission, transmission end transmitting a command of exchanging session key and introducing at least one random number at the same time (column 9, lines 66 and 67, column 10, lines 1-14 and 22-38);

B. after receiving the exchanging session key request, the semiconductor memory device randomly creating at least one random number, converting the received random number and the created random number by the algorithm to produce a session key, and then returning the random number created by the semiconductor memory device to the transmission end (column 9, lines 66 and 67, column 10, lines 1-14 and 22-38);

C. after the transmission end receives the returned random number, converting the received random number and the random number introduced by the transmission end itself with the same algorithm to produce the session key (column 9, lines 66 and 67, column 10, lines 1-14 and 22-38).

25. Regarding claim 13, Thomlinson teaches that the data to be protected include, but not limited to, documents, passwords, cipher keys, account numbers, digital certificates, encrypting algorithm, self-defining algorithm, user information and user self-defined data (column 2, lines 28-44 and 60-67, column 3, lines 1-21, column 4, lines 45-67, column 5, lines 1-18, column 6, lines 10-39 and 47-53, column 7, lines 41-53 and 64-67, column 8, lines 1-29 and 41-57, column 9, lines 1-6, 31-37 and 59-63 and column 10, lines 1-14 and 30-50, "the storage server stores the encrypted individual data item, the item authentication code, the encrypted item key, the encrypted item authentication key, the key authentication code, the encrypted master key, and the encrypted master authentication key, to be retrieved later when requested by an authorized application program").

26. Regarding claim 16, Thomlinson teaches that the algorithm is an algorithm *or* several algorithms (column 2, lines 28-44 and 60-67, column 3, lines 1-21, column 4, lines 45-67, column 5, lines 1-18, column 6, lines 10-39 and 47-53, column 7, lines 41-53, column 9, lines 31-37 and 59-63)

27. Regarding claim 17, Thomlinson teaches that the algorithm is an algorithm built in the semiconductor memory device *or* self-defined algorithm (column 11, lines 11-24, "hard-coded into the various modules of the server and providers").

***Conclusion***

28. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

29. The following United States Patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to secure data protection, such as:

United States Patent No. 7,047,416 to Wheeler et al., which is cited to show an account-based digital signature (ABDS) system.

United States Patent No. 5,864,683 to Boebert et al., which is cited to show a system for providing secure internetwork by connecting type enforcing secure computers to external network for limiting access to data based on user and process access rights.

United States Patent No. 6,832,317 to Strongin et al., which is cited to show a personal computer security mechanism.

United States Patent No. 7,065,654 to Gulick et al., which is cited to show a secure execution box.

United States Patent No. 6,934,836 to Strand et al., which is cited to show a fluid separation conduit cartridge with encryption capability.

United States Patent No. 6,757,832 to Silverbrook et al., which is cited to show unauthorized modification of values in flash memory.

United States Patent No. 6,816,968 to Walmsley, which is cited to show a consumable authentication protocol and system.

United States Patent No. 6,721,891 to Borza, which is cited to show a method of distributing piracy protected computer software.

United States Patent No. 6,698,654 to Zuppichich which is cited to show a method of interfacing with data storage card.

30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEREMIAH AVERY whose telephone number is (571)272-8627. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30am-5pm.

31. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

32. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

